

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION**

Line One Laboratories Inc. (USA),

Plaintiff,

v.

Wingpow International Limited, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-2401-RAO

Hon. Rozella A. Oliver

AMENDED JUDGMENT

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

1. The operative pleadings are: Line One Laboratories, Inc. (USA)’s (“Line One”) Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”) against Wingpow International Limited (“Wingpow”), Gary Ayckbourn, and Mark Ayckbourn (collectively, “Counterclaimants”), filed November 17, 2022 (ECF No. 64); Wingpow’s Answer to the SAC, filed September 26, 2023 (ECF No. 112); Wingpow’s First Amended Counterclaim (“FACC”) against Line One, American Latex Corporation (“ALC”), and Calvin Spencer Lee a/k/a/ Budiman Lee (“Lee”) (collectively, “Counterdefendants”), filed September 14, 2022 (ECF No. 50); Gary and Mark Ayckbourn’s (collectively, the “Ayckbourns”) Answer to the SAC and Counterclaim (“CCL”) against Counterdefendants (ECF No. 113); Counterdefendants’ Reply to the FACC and ALC and Lee’s First Amended Counterclaim (ECF No. 52); Wingpow’s Answer to First

JUDGMENT

1 Amended Counterclaim in Reply (ECF No. 57); and Counterdefendants' Reply to the
2 CCL (ECF No. 118).

3 2. Following the Court's September 12, 2023, Order on Wingpow and the
4 Ayckbourns' Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 108) and Line One's withdrawal of its
5 negligent misrepresentation claim (ECF No. 223 at 4 n.1), the operative claims prior
6 to trial were as follows.

7 a. Line One's claims for: (1) breach of contract for failure to pay invoices for
8 goods sold and delivered; (2) breach of contract arising out of failure to pay
9 certain labor costs; (3) common count for money had and received and
10 goods sold and delivered; (4) fraud based upon agreement to pay excess
11 labor charges without intention to perform; (5) breach of fiduciary duty
12 based upon retention of amounts received from customers for shipments of
13 goods and their failure to pay invoices and labor shortages; and (6)
14 imposition of constructive trust. (ECF No. 64; ECF No. 223 at 4–5.)

15 b. Wingpow's claims for: (1) breach of contract; (2) breach of duty of good
16 faith and fair dealing; (3) interference with contractual relations; (4)
17 interference with prospective economic advantage; (5) breach of fiduciary
18 duty; (6) accounting; (7) defamation; (8) receiving stolen property; and (9)
19 contribution and/or indemnity. (ECF No. 50; ECF No. 223 at 19.)

20 c. The Ayckbourns' claims for defamation against Plaintiffs. (ECF No. 113;
21 ECF No. 223 at 19.)

22 3. On October 28, 2024, trial began before a duly impaneled and sworn jury.
23 The jury rendered a verdict on November 4, 2024, as to liability, compensatory
24 damages, and punitive damages. The verdict reached on November 4, 2024, which is
25 incorporated by reference in this Judgment, was accepted by the Court and filed by the
26 Clerk. (ECF Nos. 251, 254.)

27 4. On February 28, 2025, the Court issued its Order on Plaintiff and
28 Counterdefendants' Rule 50 Motion. (ECF No. 299.) The Court granted the Rule 50

1 Motion on Counterclaimants' defamation claims against Line One and denied the Rule
2 50 Motion with respect to Counterclaimants' defamation claims against Lee.

3 5. On March 21, 2025, the Court issued its Order Re: Equitable Claims and
4 Alter Ego. (ECF No. 300.) The Court denied Plaintiff Line One's claim for a
5 constructive trust, denied Wingpow's claims for accounting and indemnity, and denied
6 Wingpow's request for a finding of alter ego.

7 6. Pursuant to the jury verdict and the Court's Order on Rule 50 Motion issued
8 on February 28, 2025, and its Order Re: Equitable Claims and Alter Ego on March 21,
9 2025, JUDGMENT is hereby entered in this matter as follows:

10 **Plaintiff's Claims**

11 (a) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendants Wingpow, Gary
12 Ayckbourn, and Mark Ayckbourn on the SAC.

13 (b) Plaintiff shall recover nothing by reason of the SAC.

14 **Wingpow's Counterclaims**

15 (a) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Wingpow and against Line One on
16 its claims for breach of contract, breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing,
17 and breach of fiduciary duty.

18 (b) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Lee and ALC and against Wingpow
19 on its claims for breach of contract, breach of duty of good faith and fair
20 dealing, and breach of fiduciary duty.

21 (c) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Wingpow and against Line One, Lee,
22 and ALC on its claims for interference with contractual relations and
23 interference with prospective economic advantage.

24 (d) Wingpow shall have and recover from Lee compensatory damages in the
25 amount of \$4.4 million and punitive damages in the amount of \$1 million in
26 punitive damages with post-judgment interest thereon as provided by law.

27 (e) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Wingpow and against Lee on its
28 defamation claim against Lee.

1 (f) Wingpow shall have and recover from Lee damages in the amount of
2 \$500,000 with post-judgment interest thereon as provided by law.

3 (g) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Line One and ALC on Wingpow's
4 defamation claims against Line One and ALC.

5 (h) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Counterdefendants and against
6 Wingpow under Cal. Penal Code § 496(a). Wingpow shall recover nothing
7 with respect to this claim.

8 (i) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Counterdefendants and against
9 Wingpow on Wingpow's claims for accounting and indemnity.

10 **Gary Ayckbourn's Counterclaim**

11 (a) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Gary Ayckbourn and against Lee on
12 his defamation claim against Lee.

13 (b) Gary Ayckbourn shall have and recover from Lee damages in the amount of
14 \$500,000 with post-judgment interest thereon as provided by law.

15 (c) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Line One and ALC and against Gary
16 Ayckbourn on Gary Ayckbourn's defamation claims against Line One and
17 ALC. Gary Ayckbourn shall recover nothing with respect to these claims.


18 **Mark Ayckbourn's Counterclaim**

19 (a) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Mark Ayckbourn and against Lee on
20 his defamation claim against Lee.

21 (b) Mark Ayckbourn shall have and recover from Lee damages in the amount of
22 \$500,000 with post-judgment interest thereon as provided by law.

23 (c) Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Line One and ALC and against Mark
24 Ayckbourn on Mark Ayckbourn's defamation claims against Line One and
25 ALC. Mark Ayckbourn shall recover nothing with respect to these claims.

26 DATED: 10/29/2025

27 

28 ROZELLA A. OLIVER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE